AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF TWO HYBRID FRAMEWORKS FOR STOCK INDEX PREDICTION USING NEURAL NETWORK AND SUPPORT VECTOR REGRESSION

Abstract. Stock price index (SPI) prediction is essential to investors when they make investment decisions. However, due to the intrinsic complexity and non-stationary of SPI, accurate SPI prediction has been a challenge task for a longtime. Compared to a quantity of single algorithms, hybrid methods always have higher prediction accuracy. Based on the theories of genetic algorithm (GA), grey model (GM), back-propagation neural network (BPNN) and support vector regression (SVR), in this paper, two hybrid models named GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM are proposed for improving the prediction accuracy of Composite Index in Shanghai Stock Exchange (SHSE) and Component Index in Shenzhen Stock Exchange (SZSE). The SVR (or BPNN) is first used to forecast SPI and then with the obtained errors GM is constructed taking into account the different patterns that the SVR (or BPNN) cannot identify, reducing with the final errors. Moreover, in order to obtain the better forecasting results of SVR (or BPNN), the model parameters are optimized by GA. Two prediction cases of SPI are used to test the performance of the proposed hybrid models. Compared with the random walk (RW), SVR, and BPNN, the simulation results show that the GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM consistently have the minimum statistical error of the root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). Thus, it can be concluded that such improving methods are effective.
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1. Introduction

Stock price index (SPI) is an important reference for investors to make investment strategies in financial market (Arevalo et. al., 2017). Prediction of SPI has attracted more attention of both private and institution investors. However, due to the intrinsic complexity and non-stationary of SPI, accurate SPI prediction has
still been a challenge for both academic researchers and practitioners (Chen et. al., 2017). Although faced with complicated challenges, many experts and scholars have proposed a lot of models for SPI prediction over the past three decades. According to existing literature (Wang et. al., 2017), there are two main categories for the SPI forecasting. The first category can be referred to conventional statistical models, such as autoregressive integrated moving average models (ARIMA), exponential smoothing models (ESM), random walk, and so forth (Huang et. al., 2017). These approaches mainly assume that a linear correlation structure exists among SPI. Thus, the prediction performance of these models will be worse when the nonlinear characteristics of SPI are obvious. In other words, these statistical models maybe insufficient to capture the hidden nonlinear features in SPI.

To overcome the drawbacks of the conventional statistical models, artificial intelligence (AI) techniques with powerful nonlinear self-learning capacities, primarily including back-propagation Neural Network (BPNN) and support vector regression (SVR), have become increasingly popular for SPI forecasting. For example, Huang et al. (2005) evaluated the performance of SVR when forecasting the weekly movement of direction of NIKKEI 225 index compared with some classical models. Kara et al. (2011) employed BPNN and SVR to predict movement in the daily Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE) National 100 Index. Experimental results showed that the performance of BPNN was found significantly better than that of SVR model. Okasha (2014) investigated ARIMA, BPNN and SVR models fitted into Al-Quds Index of the Palestinian Stock Market and found that SVR performed better than other models, which persuasively demonstrated that the formation of complex nonlinear system of SVR model could portray financial market.

However, these AI models cannot yield impressive results in all prediction issues. Many research efforts have indicated that there is no single best prediction method that can be applied to any specific situation (Ince and Trafalis, 2017; Li, 2017). As a result, hybrid methods that combine two or more individual models have been proposed to increase the chance of capturing different patterns in the data and improve the prediction performance. For instance, Hu et al. (2016) proposed a short-term traffic flow hybrid forecasting method based on particle swarm optimization (PSO) and SVR. Experimental results showed that the hybrid method can get accurate forecasting results than individual models. Cao and Parry (2009) examined the relative effectiveness of hybrid model based on BPNN and genetic algorithm (GA) in forecasting future earnings per share. Gu et al. (2011) proposed a housing price forecasting based on GA and SVR. Zhou and Hu (2008) proposed an effective hybrid approach based on grey model (GM) and autoregressive moving average model (ARMA) for forecasting gyro drift. Jia et al. (2010) proposed a characteristic forecasting of hydraulic valve based on GM and adaptive neuro-fuzzy system (ANFIS). Alvisi and Franchini (2012) proposed a hybrid model based on GM and ANN for river stage forecasting with uncertainty.
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From the forecasting methodologies discussed above, it can be found that:
1) BPNN and SVR usually have better performance compared to the other individual models. 2) Hybrid methods always have higher prediction accuracy in forecasting issues. However, most of these hybrid methods tried to use one of the techniques, such as parameter selection and combining several models to improve the prediction performance, and few considered these techniques comprehensively.

This study proposes to build an integrated prediction strategy for SPI considering all these perspectives. In this paper, two hybrid models named GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM are proposed for improving the prediction accuracy of Composite Index in Shanghai Stock Exchange (SHSE) and Component Index in Shenzhen Stock Exchange (SZSE). The SVR (or BPNN) is first used to forecast SPI and then with the obtained errors GM is constructed taking into account the different patterns that the SVR (or BPNN) cannot identify, reducing with the final errors. Moreover, in order to obtain the better forecasting results of SVR (or BPNN), the model parameters are optimized by GA. Two prediction cases of SPI are used to test the performance of the proposed hybrid models. Compared with the random walk (RW), SVR, and BPNN, the simulation results show that the GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM consistently have the minimum statistical error of the root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). Thus, we can conclude that such improving methods are effective.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the basic theory of hybrid models will be introduced. In section 3, the concrete datasets will be applied to the hybrid models and the performance of these models will be presented. And section 4 will outline the conclusions.

2. Proposed approach

In this paper, two categories of hybrid models called GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM separately, which apply genetic algorithm (GA) into support vector regression (SVR) or back-propagation neural network (BPNN), and then use grey model (GM) to adjust residual error, are proposed in order to forecast the movement of stock price index (SPI) in China. The algorithm is briefly described as follows, and the flowchart is shown in Figure 1.

**Step 1:** Select explanatory input variables and input them into the SVR or BPNN.
**Step 2:** Train the SVR model or BPNN model with the output variables based on the optimal parameters obtained from GA.
**Step 3:** Use GM to adjust the difference of forecast output value and actual value called residual error, and add the forecast error of GM up to the output value of SVR or BPNN model to obtain the final forecast value.
**Step 4:** Evaluate the forecasting performance of these two hybrid models according to some criteria.
2.1 Genetic algorithm (GA)

GA is adaptive heuristic search algorithm based on the evolutionary ideas of natural selection and genetics. The primary components of GA are the chromosome encoding, the fitness function, selection, crossover and mutation. A typical design for classical GA using standard genetic operators is below:

**Step 1:** Randomly generate an initial source of chromosomes called father chromosome.

**Step 2:** Calculate the fitness function of each chromosome in the source population.

**Step 3:** Create an empty successor population.

(a) Using proportional fitness selection, select two chromosomes from the source population.

(b) Apply one-point crossover with crossover rate \(pc\) to obtain a child chromosome.

(c) Or, apply uniform mutation with mutation rate \(pm\) to produce another child chromosome.

(d) Add this child chromosome to the successor population.

**Step 4:** Replace the source population with the successor population.

**Step 5:** If stopping criteria have not been met, return to Step 2.

2.2 Support vector regression (SVR)

Support vector machine (SVM) is put forward by Vapnik (1999), superior in settling the problems of limited samples, non-linearity and high-dimension. In especial, it can overcome the worrisome outcomes brought by artificial neural
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network including dimensional disaster and over learning. When two categories of samples of training data sets $T = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\}$ can be classified through linear method approximately, which means that such special circumstances where samples do not conform to limited condition $y_i((\omega \ast x_i) + b) \geq 1$ are permitted to exist. Consequently, to solve the problem, a slack variable $\zeta_i \geq 0 (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ is introduced and the limited condition of softening is $y_i((\omega \ast x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$. To avoid a large value of $\zeta_i$, variable $\zeta_i$ should be punished in the target function, and the quadratic programming for this problem is listed as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\min \quad & \frac{1}{2}\|\omega\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & y_i((\omega \ast x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_i \\
& \zeta_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}$$

(1)

Where: $C > 0$ and $C$ denotes penalty cost for deviation during process, and $b$ is a constant term.

The Lagrange function of the quadratic programming is:

$$L(\omega, b, \zeta, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\|\omega\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{l} \zeta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (y_i((\omega \ast x_i) + b) - 1 + \zeta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \gamma_i \zeta_i$$

(2)

Where: $\gamma_i \geq 0, \zeta_i \geq 0$. According to the principle of dual function, the dual problem of the origin problem is:

$$\begin{align*}
\max \quad & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j (x_i \cdot x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \alpha_i = 0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}$$

(3)

Via solving the quadratic programming problem, the global optimum solution $\alpha^* = (\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \alpha_m^*)^T$ and $\omega^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^* y_i x_i$ can be obtained simultaneously. If
choosing $0 < \alpha^*_j < C$, the optimum solution $b^* = y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \alpha^*_i (x_i \cdot x_j)$ can be achieved.

Grounded on the theory of linear support vector machine classification, if converting $x_i$ to nonlinear function $\phi(x_i)$, and converting $(x_i \cdot x_j)$ to kernel function $K(x_i, x_j)$, nonlinear support vector regression (SVR) can be obtained. The equation of kernel function is:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = (\phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_j))$$

(4)

In this paper Gaussian kernel function is selected, and its formula is:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left\{-\frac{|x_i - x_j|^2}{\sigma^2}\right\}$$

(5)

Therefore, the optimal regression function is:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha^*_i y_i K(x_i, x_j) + b^*$$

$$b^* = y_j - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \alpha^*_i K(x_i, x_j)$$

(6)

2.3 Back-propagation neural network (BPNN)

Artificial neural network (ANN) has the merits of non-linear and flexibility, thus it has a high capacity of approximation in theory. In addition, it is able to learn the patterns of data sets and can be close to any type of function, such as linear function and nonlinear function. Therefore, it is well applied in the financial market because the financial market indicates the characteristics of noise, diversity and nonlinearity. One of the most applicable ANN is Back-propagation neural network (BPNN), and it adjusts its weights based on error of output value and real value. Here the BPNN with one input layer, one hidden layer and one output layer is chosen. The concrete studying process of BPNN is as follows:

**Step 1:** For any set of input variable $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$, initial weight of input layer $w^{(1)}$ is randomly generated to calculate the value which is put into hidden layer, and $d$ represents for the number of cells of input layer. Therefore, the output value of input layer $\alpha_j$ is:
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$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} w_{ij} x_i$$

(7)

**Step 2:** Logistic function as the activate function of hidden layer is used, thus the output result of hidden layer $h_j$ is:

$$h_j = g(\alpha_j) = g(\sum_{i=1}^{d} w_{ij} x_i)$$

(8)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

**Step 3:** The linear weighted aggregative method is still applied into output layer, the weight is $w^{(2)}$, m stands for the cell number of output layer, and the final output $y_k$ is:

$$y_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w^{(2)}_{jk} h_j$$

(9)

**Step 4:** Based on the output value $y_k$ and the real value $C_k$, the modified error of each cell can be calculated, and the formula is:

$$d_k = (y_k - C_k) * C_k * (1-C_k)$$

(10)

**Step 5:** According to such modified error the initial weight can be adjusted, repeating steps from 1 to 4, and defining target function. In other words, when the sum of square of difference of output value and real value is less than a pre-definitive value, the training process stops. Otherwise, when the number of learning is larger than a pre-definitive value, the training process stops as well.

**2.4 Grey model (GM)**

In the viewpoint of GM, the disorderly raw data can be turned to a regular series for the benefit of grey modelling, transferred to a dimensionless series to a unidirectional series for decision making. The steps for establishing GM(1,1) model for grey prediction are as follows:

**Step 1:** Suppose that
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}^{(0)} &= (x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(n)) \\
\mathbf{x}^{(1)} &= (x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \ldots, x^{(1)}(n)) \\
x^{(1)}(k) &= \sum_{m=1}^{k} x^{(0)}(m)
\end{align*}

Where: \( x^{(0)} \) denotes the raw data.

**Step 2:** Establish the GM(1,1) differential equation as a differential equation with one order and one variable below:

\[
\frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} + \alpha x^{(1)} = b
\]

Where: \( b \) is a constant term. The derivative definition can be stated roughly as follows:

\[
\frac{dx^{(1)}(t)}{dt} \cong \frac{x^{(1)}(t+\Delta t) - x^{(1)}(t)}{\Delta t}
\]

Let \( \Delta t \) equals 1, then

\[
\frac{dx^{(1)}(t)}{dt} \cong x^{(1)}(t+1) - x^{(1)}(t) = x^{(0)}(t)
\]

In addition, set \( x^{(1)} \cong z^{(1)} \) and \( z^{(1)} \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
\zeta^{(1)}(k) = \frac{x^{(1)}(k) + x^{(1)}(k+1)}{2}
\]

Thus, based on the equation above, a new expression is obtained:

\[
x^{(0)}(k) + \alpha \zeta^{(1)} = b
\]

**Step 3:** Based on the least square method to obtain the parameters \( a \) and \( b \), therefore,
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\[ \theta = [a, b]^T = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T X \]

\[ X = B\theta \]

\[ X = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \ldots, x^{(0)}(n)]^T \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} [x^{(1)}(1) + x^{(1)}(2)] & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} [x^{(1)}(2) + x^{(1)}(3)] & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
-\frac{1}{2} [x^{(1)}(n-1) + x^{(1)}(n)] & 1 
\end{bmatrix} \quad (17) \]

**Step 4:** Use the grey differential equation to obtain the grey AGO equation, and the equation is as follows:

\[ x^{(0)}(k+1) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{b}{a}] e^{-\alpha k} + \frac{b}{a}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad (18) \]

Next, use the IAGO for reduction to obtain the required forecasting model:

\[ x^{(0)}(k) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{b}{a}] (1 - e^{-\alpha(k-1)}), k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad (19) \]

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Datasets

The datasets investigated in this paper are Chinese Shanghai Stock Exchange (SHSE) Composite Index and Shenzhen Stock Exchange (SZSE) Component Index from website of NetEase, because composite index integrates all the stocks transacted in SHSE while 40 selected stocks transacted in SZSE. The datasets both cover the transaction time period between 04/01/2012 and 06/06/2016 amounting to 1053 transactions. To test the validity of the proposed hybrid approaches and further evaluate the prediction accuracy, the dataset is partitioned into a training data set (85%) and a validation data set (15%). The training data set can be applied to train the hybrid models, and the validation data set can be applied to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the hybrid models.

Before using models to train data sets, the input variables and output variables must be determined. The input variables chosen are daily closing prices, daily opening prices, the corresponding logarithmic absolute returns and realized volatility at time t of the Composite Index. The output variable is daily closing.
price at time t+1. The reason of choosing such explanatory input variables and output variables is that daily closing price can represent the movement of stock price index in China to a large degree and the input variables are highly relevant to the output variable according to common sense and basic tests.

Let \( p_t \) (t=1,2,...) denote the daily closing prices, thus the calculation of the logarithmic absolute returns \( r_t \) is:

\[
 r_t = \ln p_t - \ln p_{t-1}
\]  

(20)

The figure of the logarithmic absolute returns of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE are as follows:

![Figure 2. Logarithmic absolute returns of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE](image)

Volatility is to measure the fluctuation degree, meaning risk. The equation of realized volatility is:

\[
 RV_t = \sqrt{n^{-1} \sum_{t-n+1}^{t} (r_i - \overline{r})^2}
\]  

(21)

\[
 \overline{r} = n^{-1} \sum_{t-n+1}^{t} r_i
\]

Where: \( r_t \) denotes logarithmic absolute returns, and \( \overline{r} \) denotes average value of logarithmic absolute returns of n days prior to time t. Let we set that n equals 11, this is because there are 22 transaction days in a month and 11 days is approximate to half of a month. Figure3 represents realized volatility of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE.
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3.2 Normalization

For sake of inconsistence of order of magnitude such as realized volatility is too small and daily closing prices are too large, the data should be normalized at the beginning of modeling. By way of letting the normalized data in the range of [0, 1], the following equation is adopted:

\[ X^* = \frac{x - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Where: \( X^* \) denotes the training data, and \( x \) denotes origin data. In order to obtain the accurate value after the forecasting, the output value can be reverted with the following formula:

\[ x^* = X^* \cdot (x_{\max} - x_{\min}) + x_{\min} \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Where: \( x^* \) denotes the invert value of output value \( X^* \).

Therefore, based on such normalization method, input variables and the output variable shall be processed through hybrid models.

3.3 Forecasting results of hybrid models

When modeling with GA-SVR, the train datasets cover the period from 04/02/2012 to 10/09/2015 amounting to 894 transactions, and the prediction datasets cover the period from 11/09/2015 to 06/05/2016 amounting to 158...
transactions. When applying GA, the crossover rate $p_c$ equaling 0.8 is defined, and the mutation rate $p_m$ meets 0.9. To find best parameters of SVR, which means finding the optimal penalty parameter $c$ and kernel function parameter $g$, the initialization of pair value $(c, g)$ is based on grid method. In addition, the fitness function is set as to minimize least square error between output value of SVR and actual value. Specifically, the crossover operator is to get the average value of $c$ or $g$ proximity to the selected parameters to get the child parameters, and the mutation operator is to add a small random number to the selected parameters to get a new pair of parameters. Similarly, the principal of GA-BPNN is absolutely the same as GA-SVR, in which the biggest difference is to replace SVR with BPNN.

According to the above calculation methods, the output value of GA-SVR or GA-BPNN can be achieved, and the residual error which denotes the actual value minus the output value of daily closing price of Composite Index and Component Index can also be calculated. GM can be used to simulate the residual error prior to validation datasets but the time span equals to the period of validation sets, adding the residual error to the forecasting output value in order to obtain the final forecasting value, then this value can be reversed through reserved normalization method. Figure 4 and 5 show the forecasting performance of GA-SVR-GM model and GA-BPNN-GM model in two prediction cases.

### 3.4 Forecasting results of classical models

![Figure 4. GA-SVR-GM Performance of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE](image)

As a naïve predictor, the random walk (RW) is often used as a benchmark to evaluate other models in the field of prediction. In general, a new prediction approach is initially compared with the RW to evaluate its performance. In this paper, to assess the performance of these two hybrid models in daily closing prices...
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prediction, the performance of GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM models is compared with that of RW, SVR and BPNN models.

Figure 5. GA-BPNN-GM Performance of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE

3.4.1 Random walk (RW)

The random walk theory is that price changes have the same distribution and are independent of each other, so that the past movement or trend of a stock price or market cannot be used to predict its future movement. The formula is as follows:

\[ p_t = p_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (24) \]
Where $p_t$ denotes the daily closing price on day $t$, and $p_{t-1}$ denotes the daily closing price on day $t-1$, $\varepsilon_t$ is a stationary random disturbance term called residue.

Figure 7. RW Performance of Composite Index in SSE and Component Index in SZSE

Before predicting daily closing prices with random walk model, which distribution the residue of daily closing price belongs to should be determined. When using $t$-student distribution to fit the residue of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE, this kind of distribution has perfect performance as Figure 6. Figure 7 shows the forecasting performance of RW model.
3.4.2 Classical SVR and BPNN

When training datasets with classical SVR and BPNN, the learning rate of BPNN model can be set as 0.05 and the threshold of residual function equals to 0.0001. Evaluating the performance of these two models independently with the validation data sets, Figure 8 and 9 show the results of the classical models.

![Figure 9. BPNN Performance of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE](image)

3.5 Evaluate of performance of various models

Usually, three measures are used to evaluate the performance of models in forecasting daily closing prices as follows: root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). These measures are defined as:

\[
RMSE = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (p_t - \hat{p}_{t-1})^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(25)

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} |p_t - \hat{p}_{t}|
\]  

(26)

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left| \frac{p_t - \hat{p}_{t}}{p_t} \right|
\]  

(27)
Where \( n \) denotes the number of forecasting samples, \( \hat{p}_t \) denotes the forecasting daily closing price, and \( p_t \) denotes the real daily closing price. All the results are listed in table 1.

**Table 1. Performance of Composite Index in SHSE and Component Index in SZSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RW</th>
<th>SVR</th>
<th>BPNN</th>
<th>GA-SVR-GM</th>
<th>GA-BPNN-GM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SHSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>192.8566</td>
<td>85.1826</td>
<td>80.8020</td>
<td>65.0295</td>
<td>39.8736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>166.7401</td>
<td>57.6486</td>
<td>64.2551</td>
<td>48.1012</td>
<td>30.8181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPE</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td>0.0161</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SZSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>1093.5</td>
<td>417.0634</td>
<td>377.3604</td>
<td>372.2870</td>
<td>171.5025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>861.7307</td>
<td>272.6167</td>
<td>268.8747</td>
<td>258.1719</td>
<td>128.0108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPE</td>
<td>0.0843</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As is the table shown, regardless of the measurement RMSE, MAE or MAPE, the classical RW model performs the worst in the Shanghai Stock Exchange or in the Shenzhen Stock Exchange, which means that the randomness of this model cannot accord to effective market theory and financial market can be predicted by past information. In addition, classical SVR and BPNN models perform better than RW model. However, BPNN model has a little better prediction performance than SVR model. When combining GA and GM with classical SVR or BPNN model so as to establish hybrid models, forecasting the movement of composite index in SHSE and component index in SZSE, three measurements of RMSE, MAE and MAPE are greatly minimized, and such improvement of models indeed enhances the prediction effect. Take RMSE for example, the GA-SVR-GM model is 20.1531 less than SVR model, and the GA-BPNN-GM model is 40.9284 less than BPNN model for sake of forecasting composite index in Shanghai. Similarly, GA-SVR-GM model enhances performance of SVR model by reducing 44.7764, GA-BPNN-GM model reducing 205.8575 to a large degree. Therefore, the hybrid GA-BPNN-GM model is superior to the hybrid GA-SVR-GM model, meaning that nonlinear and self-learning characteristic will be more accurate.
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4. Conclusion
Accurate prediction of stock pricing index can assist investors in strengthening the judgment of investment. In this paper, an improving approach based on genetic algorithm and grey model is proposed to establish two hybrid models called GA-SVR-GM and GA-BPNN-GM separately. Through the validation of datasets of composite index and component index, two hybrid models indeed improve the performance compared with the classical random walk, support vector regression and back-propagation neural network. The results of three measurements of RMSE, MAE and MAPE demonstrate it. Therefore, such improvement proposed is effective, and the hybrid GA-BPNN-GM model performs the best among all the models. Thus, it is persuasive to use this model to predict the movement of stock price index.
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