MODELLING AND FORECASTING WITH FINANCIAL DURATION DATA USING NON-LINEAR MODEL

Abstract. The class of autoregressive conditional duration (ACD) models plays an important role in modelling the duration data in economics and finance. This paper presents a non-linear model to allow the first four moments of the duration to depend nonlinearly on past information variables. Theoretically the model is more general than the linear ACD model. The proposed model is fitted to the data given by the 3534 transaction durations of IBM stock on five consecutive trading days. The fitted model is found to be comparable to the Weibull ACD model in terms of the in-sample and out-of-sample mean squared prediction errors and mean absolute forecast deviations. In addition, the Diebold-Mariano test shows that there are no significant differences in forecast ability for all models.

Keywords: Autoregressive conditional duration, multivariate quadratic-normal distribution, nonlinear dependence structure, duration model.

JEL Classification: C41, C53, G17

1. Introduction

Modelling of high frequency data is an important issue in the studies of various market microstructures. Engle and Russell (1998) proposed the class of autoregressive conditional duration (ACD) models to analyze irregularly spaced high frequency data. This class of models adapts the theory of autoregressive and generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) models to study the dynamic structure of the durations and can be used to analyze transaction data with irregular time intervals. Following the findings of Engle and Russell, (1998), many other types of ACD models have been introduced. Among them are

The choice a suitable distribution for the error distribution also plays an important role in ACD modelling. Many well-known positive support distributions have been used in ACD models. These distributions include exponential and Weibull distributions (Engle and Russell, 1998), generalized F distribution (Hautsch, 2001), mixture distribution with time-varying weights (Luca and Gallo, 2008), Birnbaum-Saunders distribution (Bhatti, 2010) and scale-mixture Birnbaum-Saunders distribution (Leiva et al., 2014). However, in practice, as the true distribution of error is seldom known, the choice of suitable distribution becomes a crucial issue in ACD modelling. Having taken consideration of this issue, some semi-parametric ACD models and semi-parametric estimation methods have been put forward. For example, some interesting semi-parametric models are due to (Drost and Werker, 2004; Fernandes et al., 2006; Patrick et al., 2015). Allen et al. (2013) and Ng and Peiris (2013) used the theory of linear estimating function (EF) as a semi-parametric method for estimating the parameters of this type of model. Ng et al. (2015) showed that when the first four conditional moments are given, the semi-parametric quadratic EF estimators are more efficient than the linear EF estimators.

In this paper, an alternative non-linear time series model for modelling duration data is proposed. The proposed model is constructed by using the multivariate quadratic-normal distribution with a nonlinear dependence structure given in Pooi (2006). The quadratic-normal distribution is a four-parameter distribution which can capture the first four moments exhibited by the data. The quadratic-normal distribution together with the nonlinear dependence structure enables the proposed model to specify the mean, variance, skewness and kurtosis of the future duration to be nonlinear functions of the present and past durations.

The proposed model is fairly general and would be able to fit a lot of real datasets. As an illustration, we fit the data given in Tsay (2010) using the proposed non-linear model and the linear ACD (LINACD) model with Weibull errors. The predictive performances of these models are measured and compared. The Diebold-Mariano (DM) test (Diebold and Mariano, 1995) is carried out to assess the comparative forecast accuracy between models.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the general class of ACD models. Section 3 discusses the setup of the proposed non-linear time series model. Section 4 discusses the model comparison. In Section 5, the data given in Tsay (2010, p260-264) are fitted with the proposed non-linear
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model and the LINACD model with Weibull errors (WACD). The predictive performances of these models are measured and compared. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. The General Class of ACD Models

Let \( t_i \) be the time of the \( i \)-th trading transactions and let \( x_i \) be the \( i \)-th adjusted duration such that \( x_i = t_i - t_{i-1} \). Next let

\[
\psi_i \equiv E[x_i \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}, \ldots, x_1] = E[x_i \mid F_{i-1}],
\]

where \( F_{i-1} \) is the information set available at the \((i-1)\)-th trade. Then, the basic ACD model for the variable \( x_i \) is defined multiplicatively, via:

\[
x_i = \psi_i \varepsilon_i,
\]

where the error term \( \varepsilon_i \) is a sequence of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables, with density function \( f(\cdot) \), such that \( E(\varepsilon_i) = 1 \) and \( \varepsilon_i \) is independent of \( F_{i-1} \). From Eq. (2), it is clear that a vast set of ACD model specifications can be defined by allowing different distributions for \( \varepsilon_i \) and specifications of \( \psi_i \).

The basic class of ACD specification, known as LINACD \((p,q)\) as proposed by Engle and Russell (1998), is defined via:

\[
\psi_i = \omega + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_j x_{i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_j \psi_{i-j},
\]

where \( \omega > 0, \alpha_j, \beta_j > 0 \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{r} (\alpha_j + \beta_j) < 1, \quad p \geq 1, \quad q \geq 0 \) and \( r = \max(p,q) \).

This dynamic equation closely resembles the GARCH \((p,q)\) specification from Bollerslev (1986).

3. The Non-linear Model

Let \( \Delta t \) be a small positive value and \( r^*(t) = [r(t-(l-1)\Delta t), \ldots, r(t-\Delta t), r(t)] \) a vector formed by the present response \( r(t) \) and \( l-1 \) other responses before time \( t \). In Pooi (2012), the response \( r(t+\Delta t) \) at a short time \( \Delta t \) ahead of time \( t \) is modeled to be dependent on \( r^*(t) \) via a
conditional probability density function (pdf) which is derived from an \((l+1)\)-dimensional power-normal distribution for
\[
\mathbf{r} = [r(t - (l - 1)\Delta t), \ldots, r(t - \Delta t), r(t), r(t + \Delta t)]^T.
\]

This conditional distribution specifies a time series model for the future response \(r(t + \Delta t)\).

The time series model in Pooi (2012) may be adapted to analyze the time series data on duration. Let \(r_i\) be the \(i\)-th duration. The next duration \(r_{i+1}\) may now be modeled to be dependent on the present \(i\)-th duration \(r_i\) and \(l-1\) other previously recorded durations \(r_{i-l+1}, r_{i-l+2}, \ldots, r_{i-1}\) via a conditional pdf which is derived from an \((l+1)\)-dimensional power-normal distribution for \(\mathbf{r}^* = [r_{i-l+1}, r_{i-l+2}, \ldots, r_i]^T\).

The above time series model for the future duration \(r_{i+1}\) is suitable only if the variables in \(\mathbf{r}^*\) are linearly correlated. In this section, we propose a model based on multivariate quadratic-normal distribution with a nonlinear dependence structure for the case when the variables in \(\mathbf{r}^*\) have a nonlinear dependence structure.

A brief description of the above multivariate quadratic-normal distribution with a nonlinear dependence structure (MQNN) is as follows.

Let \(\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k)^T\) be a set of correlated random variables. The vector \(\mathbf{y}\) is said to have a multivariate quadratic-normal distribution with a nonlinear dependence structure if

\[
\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_k \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}_1 \\ \bar{u}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{u}_k \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(\mu_i = E(y_i)\), \(\mathbf{V}\) is a \((k \times k)\) orthogonal matrix, \(\bar{u}_i = \bar{\sigma}_i u_i\), \(\bar{\sigma}_i^2 = \text{var}(\bar{u}_i)\),

\[
u_i = h_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{ij} u_i^* u_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{ijj}, 1 \leq i \leq k,
\]
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\[ u_i^* = \begin{cases} 
\lambda_1^{(i)} z_i + \lambda_2^{(i)} \left( \frac{z_i^2}{2} - \frac{1 + \lambda_3^{(i)}}{2} \right), & z_i \geq 0 \\
\lambda_1^{(i)} z_i + \lambda_2^{(i)} \left( \frac{\lambda_3^{(i)} z_i^2}{2} - \frac{1 + \lambda_3^{(i)}}{2} \right), & z_i < 0 
\end{cases} \]

\[ E(u_i^{*2}) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \]

and \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k \) are independent and having the standard normal distributions.

The parameters in the above nonlinear model include \( \mathbf{V}, \mu_i, \sigma_i, \lambda_1^{(i)}, \lambda_2^{(i)}, \lambda_3^{(i)}, h_i \) and \( h_{ijl}, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq k \). The method for estimating these parameters using the set of \( N \) observed values of \( y \) can be found in Pooi and Soo (2013).

When the initial \( k - 1 \) values \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{k-1} \) of \( y \) are given, we may use a simulation procedure to estimate the conditional distribution of \( y_k \).

Initially a total of \( N \) observations for \( y \) may be generated by using the estimated MQNN distribution. Let \( \{y_k^{(1)}, y_k^{(2)}, \ldots, y_k^{(n_k)}\} \) be the set of values of \( y_k \) in the generated observations \( y_1^*, y_2^*, \ldots, y_k^* \) such that \( y_i - \delta \leq y_i^* \leq y_i + \delta \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k - 1 \) where \( \delta \) is a chosen small value. An approximate conditional distribution of \( y_k \) may then be found by fitting a quadratic-normal distribution to the values \( \{y_k^{(1)}, y_k^{(2)}, \ldots, y_k^{(n_k)}\} \). The mean of the fitted quadratic-normal distribution is then an estimate of the mean of \( y_k \).

By setting \( k = l + 1 \) and \( y = \mathbf{r}^* \), we may obtain an appropriate MQNN distribution for \( \mathbf{r}^* \). We may next use the method in this section to obtain an approximate conditional distribution for the last component of \( \mathbf{r}^* \) when the initial \( l \) values \( r_{-l+1}, r_{-l+2}, \ldots, r_l \) are given. The mean of the conditional distribution then gives an estimate of the value of the future duration \( r_{l+1} \).

4. Model Comparison

Consider the transaction durations of IBM stock (see Tsay, 2010, p260-264). It is found that the LINACD (1,1) with Weibull errors (WACD (1, 1)) model gives a good fit to the data. The same data have also been fitted with the proposed non-linear time series model. To compare the predictive performance of these two models, we calculate the in-sample mean square prediction error (MSPE), in-sample mean absolute prediction deviation (MAPD), out-of-sample mean square
forecast error (MSFE) and out-of-sample mean absolute forecast deviation (MAFD). Further, the pair-wise test of equal forecast accuracy, that is the DM test, is carried out. Some brief discussions of this test are given below.

4.1 Diebold-Mariono Test
Suppose that we have a time series $x_i; \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, n + 1, \cdots, n + m$ of adjusted durations, where a model is fitted using the first $n$ observations. Let $\hat{\xi}_i^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\xi}_i^{(2)}$ be the fitted values when the proposed non-linear model and the LINACD(1,1) model are fitted respectively. The forecast errors from the two models are

$$
\xi_{n+h}^{(1)} = x_{n+h} - \hat{\xi}_{n+h}^{(1)}, \ h = 1, 2, \cdots, m,
$$

and

$$
\xi_{n+h}^{(2)} = x_{n+h} - \hat{\xi}_{n+h}^{(2)}, \ h = 1, 2, \cdots, m.
$$

The accuracy of each forecast is measured by a suitable loss function, $L(\xi_{n+h}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, 2$. Two popular loss functions are the square error loss and absolute deviation error loss,

- **Square error loss:** $L(\xi_{n+h}^{(i)}) = (\xi_{n+h}^{(i)})^2$,
- **Absolute deviation loss:** $L(\xi_{n+h}^{(i)}) = |\xi_{n+h}^{(i)}|$.

The following DM test statistic evaluates the forecasts in terms of an arbitrary loss function $L()$:

$$
DM = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{m} (L(\xi_{n+h}^{(1)}) - L(\xi_{n+h}^{(2)}))/m}{\sqrt{S^2/m}},
$$

where $S^2$ is an estimator of the variance of $d_h = L(\xi_{n+h}^{(1)}) - L(\xi_{n+h}^{(2)})$. Under the null hypothesis of equal forecast accuracy, the distribution of the DM statistic is approximately standard normal (Diebold and Mariano, 1995).

5. An Application of Duration Data using Non-linear and WACD (1,1) Models
The duration data set employed is based on a sample of high frequency transactions data obtained for the US IBM stock on five consecutive trading days from November 1 to November 7, 1990 (see Tsay, 2010, p260-264). Focusing on positive transaction durations, we have 3534 observations. This series is adjusted for time of day effects using a smoothing spline, consisting of two quadratic
functions and two indicator variables, one each for the 1st and 2nd five minute periods of each day. Figures 1 to 3 show respectively the adjusted series, the histogram of the series and the autocorrelation plot (ACF) of the series. Clearly there exist some weak but significant autocorrelations in the adjusted durations, that the ACD model will attempt to capture. The p-value for an associated Ljung-Box statistic is very close to 0.

Figure 1. Time plots of durations for US IBM stock traded in the first five trading days of November 1990: the adjusted series
Figure 2. The histogram of the adjusted series

Figure 3. ACF of the adjusted series
The WACD(1,1) model and the non-linear model with $l=1$ described in Section 3 are fitted to the first 3500 durations of transactions of IBM stock. The existing fitted WACD(1,1) model is

$$WACD \ (1,1) : \ x_i = \psi_i e_i, \quad \psi_i = 0.1275 + 0.0576 x_{i-1} + 0.9038 \psi_{i-1},$$

while the fitted non-linear model is one of which the estimated parameters are

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} -0.70719 & 0.7070 \\ 0.7070 & 0.70719 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_1 = 3.29221, \mu_2 = 3.29198, h_1 = 0.97902,$$

$$h_2 = 0.93198, \quad \lambda_1^{(1)} = 0.14705, \quad \lambda_2^{(1)} = 0.66346, \quad \lambda_2^{(2)} = 0.47224, \quad \lambda_2^{(2)} = 0.44510,$$

$$\lambda_3^{(1)} = -1.10601, \quad \lambda_3^{(2)} = 0.32916, \quad h_{111} = 0.0, \quad h_{112} = 0.073, \quad h_{122} = 0.049,$$

$$h_{211} = 0.122, \quad h_{212} = 0.0010$$

From the fitted non-linear model, we can find the conditional distribution of future duration when the present duration is given. Figure 4 shows the plot of the mean and 97.5% point of the conditional distribution. The figure reveals that the mean and volatility of the future duration are both nonlinear functions of the present duration.

![Figure 4. Plots of mean and 97.5% point of future duration against present duration](image)
Based on the above two fitted models, we calculate the in-sample MSPE and MAPD together with the out-of-sample MSFE and MAFD using the last $m = 34$ durations. The results are shown in Table 1. The measures of forecast error shown in Table 1 show that the WACD(1,1) model gives marginally smaller in-sample MSPE/MAPD than the non-linear model, while the non-linear model gives smaller out-of-sample MSFE/MAFD than the WACD(1,1) model. Thus, the non-linear model serves as a good alternative model to the linear ACD model for fitting duration data.

Table 1. Results for the Non-linear and WACD(1,1) models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of Forecast Error</th>
<th>Non-linear model</th>
<th>WACD(1,1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-sample MSPE</td>
<td>17.1151</td>
<td>16.3290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-sample MAPD</td>
<td>2.7872</td>
<td>2.7398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of sample MSFE</td>
<td>18.4316</td>
<td>19.1014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of sample MAFD</td>
<td>3.0499</td>
<td>3.2769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the DM test statistics and their corresponding p-values. All results show that non-linear model and WACD(1,1) model have no significance difference in the forecast ability at the 5% significance level. Figure 5 graphs the fitted time series superimposed on the observed series. The results show that the non-linear and WACD(1,1) models capture the general trend, persistence and volatility clustering well. Figure 6 shows the forecasts follow the trend of the observed duration well.

Table 2: The results of the DM test for 34-step-ahead forecast, with p-values in parentheses. The benchmark is the WACD (1,1) model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss function based on</th>
<th>Non-linear model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Squared Error Loss</td>
<td>-1.0248 (0.3055)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Deviation Loss</td>
<td>-1.8624 (0.0625)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Observed $x_t$, expected $E(x_t)$ using Non-linear model and expected $E(x_t)$ using WACD(1,1) model

Figure 6. Observed $x_t$, forecast $E(x_t)$ using Non-linear model and forecast $E(x_t)$ using WACD(1,1) model
6. Conclusion

This paper proposes a non-linear model for modelling the duration data. The proposed model which uses the built-in quadratic-normal distribution for the errors, does not require the user to choose a suitable error distribution. On the contrary, we need to choose a suitable distribution of the errors using the ACD models. The proposed non-linear model uses a more elaborate mechanism for specifying the non-linear mean of the distribution together with the associated variance, skewness and kurtosis whereas the linear ACD model concentrates on the variable $\varphi_i$ for specifying the mean and dispersion of the duration.

Although the results based on dataset used in this paper show that the proposed non-linear model and the linear ACD model with Weibull error share about the same forecast accuracy, the performance of these models may differ when we consider the multi-step ahead forecast based on other datasets. Thus future research may be carried out to further compare these two models.
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